

Planning & Environment

#### Woodlands Ridge Extension, Amendment 13 Proposal Title : Woodlands Ridge Extension, Amendment 13 The proposal seeks to rezone 40ha of land from RU1 Primary Production to R5 Large Lot Proposal Summary **Residential.** PP Number : Dop File No 15/14017 PP\_2015\_MUSWE\_002\_00 **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Muswellbrook Date Planning 17-Sep-2015 Proposal Received : RPA : **Muswellbrook Shire Council** Region : Hunter Section of the Act : 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : **UPPER HUNTER** LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : City : Postcode : Suburb : Land Parcel : Lot 42 DP 748269 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Amy Blakely** Contact Number : 0249042723 Contact Email : amy.blakely@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : Pathum Gunasekara Contact Number : 0265493860 Contact Email : pathum.gunasekara@muswellbrook.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : Consistent with Strategy : N/A Regional / Sub N/A Regional Strategy :

# Woodlands Ridge Extension, Amendment 13

MDP Number :		Date of Release :					
Area of Release (Ha) :	40.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential				
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	29				
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0				
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes						
If No, comment :							
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? ;	Νο						
If Yes, comment ;							
Supporting notes							
Internal Supporting Notes :	g An application for the same proposal was lodged with Council in 2010.						
	At the time the Department determined that the proposal should not proceed at for the following reasons; 1. The proposal is more appropriately considered within the strategic context of Council's Rural Land Strategy which should be finalised prior to a rezoning being sought 2. Proposals for additional rural residential development should be justified, in part, on the basis of supply and demand for this type of development. The required strategic investigations have now been completed. The Muswellbrook Residential and Rural Strategy was adopted by Council in March 2014, and partially endorsed by the Department in May 2015. Council do not wish to use plan making delegations in this instance. Some studies require to be updated, and Council consider a greater level of independence and objectivity in the process would be obtained by not using delegations.						
External Supporting Notes :							
Adequacy Assessmen	t		Contraction and and				
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)						
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes						
Comment :	The statement of the objective land to be developed for large		g proposal intends to enable the ses.				
	The statement of objectives is	s supported.					
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)						
Is an explanation of pro	provisions provided? Yes						
Comment :	The explanation of provisions amendments to the land zonin LEP 2009. A proposed minim	ng, lot size and height of bu	ildings map of the Muswellbrook				

.

1

### The explanation of provisions is supported.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones
 1.5 Rural Lands
 3.1 Residential Zones
 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council recommends the community consultation be 28 days. Although Council agree that the proposal also meets the 'low impact' criteria specified by the Department, and a 14 day exhibition would also be appropriate.

The proposal has not nominated any specific consultation with State agencies, and indicates that it will be undertaken upon a favourable determination from the gateway.

Consultation with the Mine Subsidence Board (MSB) has been undertaken. The MSB has no objection to the proposal.

Consultation is required with the Rural Fire Service, as the land is mapped as Bush Fire Prone.

It is recommended that Council not be required to consult with Department of Primary Industries (DPI) – Agriculture, despite the loss of 40 hectares of rural land. This is because the land is not mapped as Important Agricultutal land by DPI (Singleton -Muswellbrook Pilot Area Important Agricultural Land 5 Main Commodities, Department of Primary Industries 2012). The land is also an endorsed candidate area, with the Department giving consideration to the Upper Hunter Strategic Land Use Plan as part of the endorsement process.

### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

The Muswellbrook Residential and Rural Strategy was adopted by Council in March 2014, with the subject land endorsed as a candidate area by the Department in May 2015.

## Proposal Assessment

If No, comment ::

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning<br/>proposal :The subject land, consistent with endorsed local planning strategies, represents an<br/>extension to the neighbouring large lot residential area in this location.The planning proposal will provide for the efficient use of existing infrastructure.Council has undertaken an assessment of large lot residential supply and demand for the<br/>Muswellbrook locality. The assessment determines that under a medium growth scenario,<br/>an additional 62 lots will be required by 2021. This land will assist in meeting this<br/>determined demand.

#### Woodlands Ridge Extension, Amendment 13

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

#### UPPER HUNTER STRATEGIC REGIONAL LAND USE PLAN (SRLUP)

The Upper Hunter Strategic Regional Land Use Plan (UHSRLUP) was released in September 2012. The UHSRLUP contains a number of Settlement Planning Principles aimed to guide development in the Upper Hunter. The proposal is consistent with the planning principles as it will contribute to housing diversity while maximising the efficiency of essential urban infrastructure, services and facilities.

The subject land has been used for limited agricultural activities in the past, and Council has determined that the land is not considered to be prime agricultural land.

The proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the UHSRLUP.

MUSWELLBROOK RESIDENTIAL AND RURAL RESIDENTIAL STRATEGY (2014)

The Muswellbrook Residential and Rural Strategy was adopted by Council in March 2014, and partially endorsed by the Department in May 2015.

The strategy, and endorsed component, identifies this land as a "Category 1" candidate area, being land which is "a logical extension of and located in close proximity of existing developed areas, building upon existing services and amenities and requires the augmentation of infrastructure."

An assessment of large lot residential supply and demand indicates that based on a medium growth scenario, Muswellbrook will be 62 lots short by 2021-2026. The Strategy recommends releasing additional land after 2016, prior to 2021.

#### STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

#### SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

The proposal identifies this SEPP as applicable but states that the land is unlikely to contain any contaminants because it has only been used for light agricultural activities. The 'Managing Land Contamination - Planning Guidelines' advises contamination is more likely to occur if the land is currently zoned and used for agricultural purposes. It is not apparent from the planning proposal if Council has adequately considered whether the land is contaminated as required by the SEPP and Guidelines. It is recommended that Council be advised to undertake appropriate investigations in accordance with the SEPP.

#### **Rural Lands 2008**

The proposal aims to increase the density of rural land, and remove the land from agricultural production.

The proposal determines that the land represents a relatively small reduction in available agricultural land and is well suited for large lot residential development due to its location, availability of services and proximity of existing large lot residential land uses.

The land is not mapped as Important Agricultural Land by the Department of Primary Industries(DPI). Its location, adjacent to existing large lot residential development, will provide additional housing opportunities to meet the determined demand, while limiting potential land use conflict.

#### SECTION 117 LOCAL PLANNING DIRECTIONS

#### 1.2 Rural Zones

The planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it proposes to rezone land from rural to a residential zone.

The submitted planning proposal justifies the inconsistency with this Direction due to the identification of the subject site in the Muswellbrook Residential and Rural Residential

	Strategy (MRRRS). While the MRRRS does not give explicat	ted consideration to the
	objective of this Direction and does not consider the loss o	f zoned agricultural land for
	this site or the cumulative impacts, the endorsement proces	
	within the principles of the Upper Hunter Strategic Regiona	
	The inconsistency with this direction is considered minor. through a strategic process and is not considered to be Pri Important Agricultural Land.	
	1.5 Rural Lands	
	This Direction is applicable as the planning proposal propo	oses to change the existing
	minimum lot size on land within a rural zone. The planning	
	the Rural Subdivision Principles, and thus the objectives of	
	The inconsistency with this direction is considered minor. through a strategic process and is not considered to be Pri	
	Important Agricultural Land.	
	4.4 Bushfire Protection	
	The land is mapped as bushfire prone land. As such, to be	
	Council must consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service follo determination.	
		gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the
Environmental social economic impacts : ssessment Proces	determination. The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing sign Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared which or assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the
economic impacts :	determination. The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing sign Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared which or assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the
economic impacts : ssessment Proces	determination. The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing sign Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared which or assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for SS Consistent Community Consultation	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the this proposal.
economic impacts : ssessment Proces Proposal type : Timeframe to make	determination.         The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing signed by Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared which or assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for         SS         Consistent       Community Consultation Period :	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the this proposal. 14 Days
economic impacts : <b>ssessment Proces</b> Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)	determination.         The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing signed by Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared which or assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for         SS         Consistent       Community Consultation Period :         12 months       Delegation :         NSW Rural Fire Service       Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the this proposal. 14 Days
economic impacts : <b>ssessment Proces</b> Proposal type : Timeframe to make LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	determination.         The subject site is not mapped by Council as containing signation is to be a subject of the subject of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for assessment type provisions to minimise any impact of the natural environment. This approach seems appropriate for the natural environment. This approach seems approach seems appropriate for the natural environment. This approach seems approach se	gnificant biodiversity. An utlines development proposed development on the this proposal. 14 Days

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Documents

Document File Name

DocumentType Name

Is Public

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

	S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 3.1 Residential Zones 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	Additional Information :	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
		(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Environment 2013).
		2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant section 117 Directions:
		<ul> <li>NSW Rural Fire Service</li> <li>NSW Transport - Roads and Maritime</li> </ul>
		Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. This should occur prior to community consultation.
		3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
		4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
		In the covering letter, Council should be advised the following:-
		<ul> <li>Council have not requested to use the Minister's Plan-Making delegations for this planning proposal;</li> <li>The studies prepared to support the 2010 proposal most likely require updating, as recommended by Council; and</li> <li>The inconsistencies with section 117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones and 1.5 Rural Land are of minor significance.</li> </ul>
	Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is consistent with an endorsed local strategy.

Signature;	Alo	
Printed Name:	Kortaherty Date: 2/10/15.	
	5*2	